Long-Term Changes in Benthic Community Composition Observed by the Coral Reef Evaluation and Monitoring Project (CREMP) in the FKNMS

CREMP TEAM

FWC/FWRI: Rob Ruzicka, Dr. Kathleen Semon, Jim Kidney, Mike Colella, Jennifer Morrison, Vanessa Brinkhuis

UGA: Dr. Jim Porter, Meredith Meyers

UF: Dr. Mary Christman, James Colee











CREMP is funded by the US EPA and NOAA

CREMP Overview

- Established in 1994 as part of the EPA's Water Quality Protection Program
- Cooperative effort between the NOAA, US EPA, and FWC/FWRI
- Primary objective was to document status and trends of coral resources within the FKNMS via fixed sites and repeated surveys
- Initial spatial design included four habitat types (shallow and deep forereefs, patch reefs, nearshore hardbottom communities) in 3 regions (Upper, Middle, and Lower Keys)
- Forty sites were selected and installed in 1995. Monitoring commenced in 1996

CREMP Site & Station Layout



Current Sampling Effort

- Initially 160 stations at 40 fixed sites in Florida Keys
- Presently 109 stations at 40 sites.
 - Station reduction in 2001
 - Removal of nearshore hardbottom sites
 - Six additional patch reef sites installed in 2009.
 - Two sites in Lower Keys now classified as backcountry patch reefs
- Expansion into SE FL
 (SECREMP) 64 Stations and
 17 sites (started 2003)
- Expansion into Dry Tortugas –
 39 Stations at 11 sites (started 1999)



CREMP Annual Monitoring Methods



CREMP Annual Monitoring Methods

- 1. Station Species Inventory
 - Mean species richness (number of species per station)
- 2. Video Transects
 - Automated process extracts 65-85 frames per transect
 - 15 random points placed per frame; equals ~1 pt/80cm²
 - Images analyzed in Point Count '99
 - Data pooled for each station and square root transformed
- 3. Clionid Sponge Surveys
 - Sum total no. of 25cm² grids occupied by *Cliona spp*. per station
 - > Quantify mean clionid area (cm^2/m^2)







Mean Species Richness



* Includes only Florida Keys station data

- Mean species richness lower in
 2009 than 1996
- Values can be separated into four time periods (A – D)
- Declines observed across all habitats and regions



Change in species presence/absence 1996 to 2009

➢ Favia fragum, Mycetophyllia spp., and A. cervicornis have disappeared from >50% of stations occupied in 1996

➤ Distribution for 9 species has been reduced by ≥ 10 or more stations.



Stony Coral Cover Florida Keys 1996-2009



> N = 97 Stations in the Florida Keys (excludes hardbottom sites, Dry Tortugas, or new patch reefs installed in 2009)

- > Values can be separated into six time periods (A F)
- ▶ Between 2008 and 2009 recorded first significant increase cover

Causes of Decline: Heat, Hurricanes, & HABs

≻ 1997 & 1998 ENSO

➤ ~50% proportional change in cover at deep and shallow forereef sites; ~25% at patch reefs

- Minor bleaching year in 2003
- Record number of storms affected the Keys in 16 month period during 2004 and 2005
- Declines more apparent at deep and shallow sites than on patch reefs between 2003-2006

Diatom algal bloom in 2001 impacted backcountry reefs



Causes of Decline: Cold

≻Lethal temperature for corals is 16°C (~60°F)

CREMP recorded 12°C temperatures starting on January 11th, 2010

Temperature at or near FL Bay exchanges reached 9°C

Exposure under 16°C lasted between 4 to 5 days

➢ Highest mortality observed at sites closest to shore

Temperatures not as depressed at forereef sites



Causes of Decline: Cold Summer 2009

February 2010

Significant decline in all benthic groups (Wilcoxon paired sample test df=7; p<0.001)</p>

Approximately 40% and 50% change in stony and soft coral cover, respectively

Montastraea spp. had greatest decline (in terms of percent cover)

Siderastrea siderea most tolerant species (low prevalence and severity)





Trends in Coral Cover



 \triangleright Negative trend for 54% of all sites

> Trend is negative for 16 of 19 of sites in Lower Keys and Dry Tortugas

Trends in Species Percent Coral Cover 1996-2009



▷ Pooled together for Florida Keys stations (N = 97), Montastraea annularis, M. cavernosa, Colpophyllia natans, Acropora palmata, and Porites astreoides all show decreasing trend in cover since 1999.

> Only *Siderastrea siderea* shows no trend in cover.

Trends in Montastraea annularis complex Cover



Trends for *M. annularis* are similar to overall trends in coral cover
Trend is negative for 13 of 19 sites in Lower Keys and Dry Tortugas

Trends in *Siderastrea siderea* Cover



S. siderea may mitigate cover decreases in Florida Keys unlike Porites astreoides

Trends in Benthic Cover



> N = 97 stations in the Keys

 \succ Short term trends are positive for all taxa since 2006

> Overall trend for octocoral cover is positive while trends for sponge and coral cover are negative; no overall trend for macroalgae cover

Trends in Macroalgal Cover



➢ No trend for macroalgae at majority of sites (73%)

> Backcountry sites primarily responsible for overall increase in macroalgal cover

Trends in Macroalgal Cover

Consistent with findings across wider Caribbean

Keys reefs have not undergone phase shift to macroalgal dominated reefs

Observed short term spikes (e.g. after 1997/1998 thermal stress event)

Appears reefs in the Keys may have appropriate top down controls to regulate macroalgae cover

Mean macroalgal cover in the Keys slightly less than on Caribbean reefs



Trends in Sponge Cover



 \succ Trend is negative at 38% of sites

> No clear pattern identifies why certain sites have declined

Trends in Clionid Cover

Previously reported the Keys undergoing sponge phase shift (Ward-Page et al. 2005)

Mean clionid area (all spp. pooled) has remained relatively similar since 2001

 Cliona delitrix shows declining trend since 2001. Decreased at 30% (11 of 37) of stations monitored

Decrease may be linked to declining *Montastraea spp.* cover or poor proxy for assessing water quality





Trends in Octocoral Cover



 \succ Trend is positive at 62% of sites

> All shallow forereef sites show a strong (p<0.001) increasing trend

Trends in Octocoral Cover

 Similar finding in Seychelles after mass bleaching in 1998
 but previously not reported for Caribbean

➤ Trend most apparent on shallow forereefs where Acropora palmata and Millepora complanata have disappeared.

Multiple genera responsible for shift in cover

Resiliency in Florida Keys linked to initial high cover, reproduction, growth rates



From Norstrom et al. 2009, MEPS, Vol. 376

Trends in Octocoral Cover – Molasses Shallow



Trends in Octocoral Cover – Molasses Shallow



Trends in Octocoral Cover – Conch Shallow



Summary

- 1. CREMP has recorded a 43% decline in coral cover since project inception and mean species richness has decreased in all regions and habitats
- 2. The most notable decreases occurred between 1997-1999 and 2003-2006 during ENSO years and intense hurricane seasons
 - \succ 54% of all sites show a declining trend since 1999
 - > 2009 was the first year CREMP ever recorded an increase in coral cover
 - > Patch reefs will decrease in cover in 2010 more than any other year
 - *Montastraea annularis* and *M. cavernosa* severely impacted by 2010 winter
- 3. Keys entering into a new alternative state dominated by octocorals
 - Most apparent on shallow forereef sites replacing A. palmata and M. complanata, trend starting at patch and deep sites
 - Sponge cover shows a negative trend while macroalgal cover has remained similar throughout the project
- Coral Cover within the most intensively managed areas (SPAs) has declined by 67% since 1996

CREMP Team

Rob Ruzicka, Dr. Kathleen Semon, Jim Kidney, Jennifer Morrison, Vanessa Brinkhuis, Mike Colella, Dr. Jim Porter, Meredith Meyers, Dr. Mary Christman, and James Colee

CREMP is funded by US EPA Water Quality Protection Program & NOAA NOS Army Corps of Engineers

Federal Award No. X7-95447709



Special thanks to Fred McManus, Bill Kruczynski, Richard Harvey and Steve Blackburn US EPA

